arXiv:1701.02975v1 [math.NT] 11 Jan 2017

Structure and asymptotics for Catalan numbers modulo primes using automata

Rob Burns

12th January 2017

Abstract

Let C_n be the nth Catalan number. We show that the asymptotic density of the set $\{n : C_n \equiv 0 \mod p\}$ is 1 for all primes p, We also show that if $n = p^k - 1$ then $C_n \equiv -1 \mod p$. Finally we show that if $n \equiv \{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\} \mod p$ then p divides C_n. All results are obtained using the automata method of Rowland and Yassawi.

1 Introduction

The *Catalan numbers* are defined by

$$C_n := \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}.$$

There has been much work in recent years and also going back to Kummer [7] on analysing the Catalan numbers modulo primes and prime powers. Deutsch and Sagan [3] provided a complete characterisation of Catalan numbers modulo 3. A characterisation of the Catalan numbers modulo 2 dates back to Kummer. Eu, Liu and Yeh [4] provided a complete characterisation of Catalan numbers modulo 4 and 8. This was extended by Liu and Yeh [9] to a complete characterisation modulo 8, 16 and 64. This result was restated in a more compact form by Kauers, Krattenthaler and Müller in [5] by representing the generating function of C_n as a polynomial involving a special function. The polynomial for C_n modulo 4096 was also calculated. A method for extracting the coefficients of the generating function (i.e. C_n modulo a prime power) was provided. Given the complexity of the polynomials (the polynomial for the 4096 case takes a page and a half to write down) the computation would need to be done by computer. Krattenthaler and Müller [6] used a similar method to examine C_n modulo powers of 3. They wrote down the polynomial for the generating function of C_n modulo 9 and 27 and thereby generalised the mod 3 result of [3]. The article

by Lin [8] discussed the possible values of the odd Catalan numbers modulo 2^k and Chen and Jiang [2] dealt with the possible values of the Catalan numbers modulo prime powers. Rowland and Yassawi [10] investigated C_n in the general setting of automatic sequences. The values of C_n (as well as other sequences) modulo prime powers can be computed via automata. Rowland and Yassawi provided algorithms for creating the relevant automata. They established a full characterisation of C_n modulo $\{2, 4, 8, 16, 3, 5\}$ in terms of automata. They also extended previous work by establishing forbidden residues for C_n modulo $\{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$. In theory the automata can be constructed for any prime power but computing power and memory quickly becomes a barrier.

We will use Rowland and Yassawi's automata to establish asymptotic densities of C_n modulo primes. We will also make note of some structure results that appear from an examination of the relevant state diagrams of the automata. Asymptotic densities for C_n modulo 2^k and 3 are available from [1]. In this paper we will be discussing primes $p \geq 5$.

Here, the asymptotic density of a subset S of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined to be

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \in S : n \leq N\}$$

if the limit exists, where $\#S$ is the number of elements in a set S.

In particular, we will show that the asymptotic density of the set

$$S_p(\,0\,) = \{n : C_n \equiv 0 \mod p\} \tag{1}$$

is 1 for all primes p. For $p \in \{2, 3\}$ this result was shown in [1]. We will also show that if $n \equiv \{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\} \mod p$ then p divides C_n. Finally, we will show that if $n = p^k - 1$ for prime p then $C_n \equiv -1 \mod p$. A stronger result has been known for $p = 2$ since Kummer. Namely,

Theorem 1. *For all $n \geq 0$, C_n is odd if and only if $n = 2^k - 1$ for some $k \geq 0$.*

The "only if" part does not apply for primes greater than 2. For $p \in \{3, 5\}$ the result is proved by Rowland and Yassawi in [10].

For a number p, we write the base p expansion of a number n as

$$[\,n\,]_p = \langle n_r n_{r-1} ... n_1 n_0 \rangle$$

where $n_i \in [\,0, p-1\,]$ and

$$n = n_r p^r + n_{r-1} p^{r-1} + ... + n_1 p + n_0.$$

2 Background on automata for $C_n \mod p$

Rowland and Yassawi showed in [10] that the behaviour of sequences such as $C_n \mod p$ can be studied by the use of finite state automata. The automaton has a finite number of states and rules for transitioning from one state to another. In the form described in [10] (algorithm 1) each state s is represented by a polynomial in 2 variables x and y. Each state has a value obtained by evaluating the polynomial at $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. All calculations are made modulo p. For the Catalan case the initial state s_1 is represented by the polynomial

$$R(\,x, y\,) \;=\; y(\,1 - 2xy - 2xy^2\,). \tag{2}$$

New states are constructed by applying the Cartier operator $\Lambda_{d,d}$ to the polynomials

$$s_i * Q(\,x, y\,)^{p-1}$$

for $d \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$ where $\{s_i\}$ are the already calculated states and the polynomial Q is defined by

$$Q(\,x, y\,) \;=\; x(\,y + 1\,)^2 - 1. \tag{3}$$

The Cartier operator is a linear map on polynomials defined by

$$\Lambda_{d_1,d_2}(\sum_{m,n\geq 0} a_{m,n}\, x^m\, y^n\,) = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} a_{pm+d_1,pn+d_2}\, x^m y^n.$$

Since the Cartier operator maintains or reduces the degree of the polynomial and there are only finitely many polynomials modulo p of each degree, all states of the automaton are obtained within a known finite time. It will be seen later that the automaton has at most $p + 3$ states. If

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(s * Q^{p-1}) = t$$

for states (i.e. polynomials) s and t then the transition from state s to state t under the input d is part of the automaton.

To calculate $C_n \mod p$, n is first represented in base p. The base p digits of n are fed into the automaton starting with the least significant digit. The automaton starts at the initial state s_1 and transitions to a new state as each digit is fed into it. The value of the final state after all n's digits have been used is equal to $C_n \; mod \; p$. Refer to [10] for more details.

In the remainder of this article we will provide details of the automata for a general prime $p \geq 5$. We will provide the polynomials and values for the states and the transitions between states. States are listed as s_1, s_2, ... Transitions, when provided, will be in the form $(\,s, j) \;\rightarrow t$ which means that if the automaton is in state s and



Figure 1: Example of transition from state s_1 to state s_2 and a loop.

receives digit j then it will move to state t. We will call a state s a **loop** state if all transitions from s go to s itself, i.e. $(s, j) \to s$ for all choices of j.

States and transitions are represented visually in the form of a directed graph. For example, figure 1 represents an automaton which moves from state s_1 to state s_2 when it receives the digit 3. It also moves from state s_2 to state s_2 (i.e. loops) if it is in state s_2 and receives a digit 4.

3 Preliminary calculations

Before we start constructing the automata it will be convenient to first precompute $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, s(x,y) * Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$ for various choices of the polynomial s. The relevant results are contained in table 1. When reading the table note that $\binom{n}{m} = 0$ for $m < 0$. We will go through a few of the calculations from table 1.

Firstly, the polynomial Q^{p-1} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{p-1}(x,y) &= (\, x(\, y+1\,)^2 - 1\,)^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} x^k (\, y+1\,)^{2k} (\, -1\,)^{p-1-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k} \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{l} (\, -1\,)^k x^k y^l. \qquad (4) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k} a_{k,l} x^k y^l \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{k,l} = \binom{p-1}{k} \binom{2k}{l} (\, -1\,)^k \qquad (5)$$

for $0 \le k \le p-1$ and $0 \le l \le 2k$.

Then, for $r \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$,

State s	$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, s * Q^{p-1}\,)$
1	$\binom{2d}{d}$ for $0 \le d \le p-1$
y	$\binom{2d}{d-1}$ for $0 \le d \le p-2$ $y+1$ for $d = p-1$
xy	$\binom{2d-2}{d-1}$ for $0 \le d \le p-1$
xy^2	$xy(\, y+1\,)$ for $d = 0$ $\binom{2d-2}{d-2}$ for $1 \le d \le p-1$
xy^3	$-2xy(\, y+1\,)$ for $d = 0$ $\binom{2d-2}{d-3}$ for $1 \le d \le p-2$ $y+1$ for $d = p-1$

Table 1: Table of values of $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, s * Q^{p-1}\,)$

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x^r y^t Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = \Lambda_{d,d}(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{2k} a_{k,l} x^{k+r} y^{l+t}\,)$$

$$= \Lambda_{d,d}(\sum_{k}\sum_{l} b_{k,l} x^k y^l\,)$$

where

$$b_{k,l} = a_{k-r,l-t} = \binom{p-1}{k-r}\binom{2(k-r)}{l-t}(\,-1\,)^{k-r} \tag{6}$$

and the indices k and l in $b_{k,l}$ satisfy

$$r \le k \le p-1+r \;\;;\;\; t \le l \le 2(k-r)+t \le 2p-1+t. \tag{7}$$

So,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x^r y^t Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = \sum_{k}\;\sum_{l} b_{pk+d,pl+d} x^k y^l. \tag{8}$$

and the indices k and l in (8) satisfy

$$r \le pk+d \le p-1+r \;\;;\;\; t \le pl+d \le 2(pk+d-r)+t \le 2p-1+t. \tag{9}$$

We first compute $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$ (i.e. $r=0$ and $t=0$ in (8)). When $r=0$ and $t=0$ the only choice for k satisfying the bounds in (9) is $k=0$. This then leaves $l=0$ as the only choice for l satisfying (9). Then,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{d,d} = a_{d,d} = \binom{p-1}{d}\binom{2d}{d}(\,-1\,)^d$$

$$\equiv \binom{2d}{d} \mod p$$

since

$$\binom{p-1}{d} \equiv (\,-1\,)^d \mod p.$$

This gives the first line of table 1. Note that $\binom{0}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{2d}{d} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $d \ge \frac{p+1}{2}$.

We next calculate $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$, (i.e. $r=0$ and $t=1$ in (8)). We have,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = \sum_k \sum_l b_{pk+d,\, pl+d}\, x^k y^l. \tag{10}$$

where $b_{k,l} = a_{k,l-1}$ for $0 \le pk + d \le p - 1$ and $1 \le pl + d \le 2(pk + d) + 1$. If $d = 0$, k must be 0 but there are then no choices for l which fit the required bounds. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{0,0}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = 0.$$

If $1 \le d \le p - 2$ then the only suitable choice for $\{k, l\}$ is $k = 0$ and $l = 0$. In this case

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{d,d} = a_{d,d-1}$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{d}\binom{2d}{d-1}(\,-1\,)^d \equiv \binom{2d}{d-1} \mod p.$$

We note that $\binom{2d}{d-1} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $d \ge \frac{p+1}{2}$.

If $d = p-1$, there are two choices for k and l that satisfy the bounds in equation (10). These are $k = 0$, $l = 0$ and $k = 0$, $l = 1$. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{p-1,p-1}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{p-1,p-1} + b_{p-1,2p-1}y$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{p-1}\binom{2p-2}{p-2}(\,-1\,)^{p-1} + \binom{p-1}{p-1}\binom{2p-2}{2p-2}(\,-1\,)^{p-1}y.$$

But $\binom{2p-2}{p-2} \equiv 1 \mod p$ so

$$\Lambda_{p-1,p-1}(\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = y + 1.$$

We next calculate $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$, (i.e. $r = 1$ and $t = 1$ in (8)). We have,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = \sum_k \sum_l b_{pk+d,\, pl+d}\, x^k y^l.$$

and from (9) k and l satisfy

$$1 \le pk + d \le p \;\; ; \;\; 1 \le pl + d \le 2(pk + d - 1) + 1 \le 2p.$$

If $d = 0$ there is only one choice for $\{k, l\}$ namely $k = 1$ and $l = 1$. In this case

$$\Lambda_{0,0}(\, x\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{p,p}\, x\, y$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{p-1}\binom{2p-2}{p-1}(\,-1\,)^{p-1}\, x\, y \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

For $1 \leq d \leq p-1$ the only suitable choice for $\{k, l\}$ is $k = 0$ and $l = 0$. So

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, yQ(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{d,d}$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{d-1}\binom{2d-2}{d-1}(\,-1\,)^{d-1} \equiv \binom{2d-2}{d-1} \mod p.$$

We note that $\binom{2d-2}{d-1} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $d \geq \frac{p+3}{2}$.

For brevity we will skip the calculation of $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, y^2Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$ and finally calculate $\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, y^3Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$ (i.e. $r = 1$ and $t = 3$ in (8)). We have,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, y^3Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = \sum_k \sum_l b_{pk+d,\, pl+d}\, x^k y^l.$$

and from (9) k and l satisfy

$$1 \leq pk + d \leq p \;;\; 3 \leq pl + d \leq 2(pk + d - 1) + 3 \leq 2p. \tag{11}$$

When $d = 0$, k must be 1 and l can be 1 or 2. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{0,0}(\, x\, y^3Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{p,p}\, x\, y + b_{p,2p}\, x\, y^2$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{p-1}\binom{2p-2}{p-3}(\,-1\,)^{p-1}\, x\, y + \binom{p-1}{p-1}\binom{2p-2}{2p-3}(\,-1\,)^{p-1}\, x\, y^2$$

$$= -2xy - 2xy^2$$

since $\binom{2p-2}{p-3} \equiv -2 \mod p$ and $\binom{2p-2}{2p-3} \equiv -2 \mod p$.

For $d \in \{1, 2\}$ there are no suitable choices for k and l in (11) so

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, y^3Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = 0$$

for $d \in \{1, 2\}$. For $3 \leq d \leq p-2$ the only suitable choice for k in (11) is $k = 0$. Then l must also be 0. Therefore, for $3 \leq d \leq p-2$,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\, x\, y^3 Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{d,d} = \binom{p-1}{d-1}\binom{2d-2}{d-3}(-1)^{d-1}$$

$$= \binom{2d-2}{d-3}$$

since $\binom{p-1}{d-1} = (-1)^{d-1} \mod p$. Note that $\binom{2d-2}{d-3} = 0$ for $d = 1$ and $d = 2$.

When $d = p-1$, $k = 0$, $l = 0$ and $k = 0$, $l = 1$ both satisfy the bounds in (11). So,

$$\Lambda_{p-1,p-1}(\, x\, y^3 Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,) = b_{p-1,p-1} + b_{p-1,2p-1}y$$

$$= \binom{p-1}{p-2}\binom{2p-4}{p-4}(-1)^{p-2} + \binom{p-1}{p-2}\binom{2p-4}{2p-4}(-1)^{p-2}y$$

$$\equiv y + 1 \mod p$$

since $\binom{2p-4}{p-4} \equiv 1 \mod p$.

4 Constructing the automata for $C_n \mod p$

In this section we will describe the states and transitions of the automata for $C_n \mod p$. These are summarised in Table 2. For given $d : 0 \leq d \leq p-1$ and state $s \in \{s_1, s_2, 1, -(y+1)\}$ the table gives the state equal to

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(s * Q^{p-1}).$$

The transition $(\, s, d\,) \to \Lambda_{d,d}(s * Q^{p-1})$ is then part of the automata.

Figures 2 and 3 provide an alternative pictorial summary of the automata for $C_n \mod p$. We have broken the state diagram into 2 figures to improve clarity. In the figures the group of states labelled C represents all states which consist of a constant non-zero polynomial.



Figure 2: Partial state diagram for $C_n \mod p$.



Figure 3: Partial state diagram for $C_n \mod p$.

d	s_1 $y(\,1-2xy-2xy^2\,)$	s_2 $2xy(y+1)$	1	$-(y+1)$
$d=0$	s_2	s_2	1	-1
$1\leq d\leq \frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{d}\binom{2d}{d-1}$	$2\binom{2d-1}{d}$	$\binom{2d}{d}$	$-\binom{2d+1}{d}$
$\frac{p+1}{2}\leq d\leq p-2$	0	0	0	0
$d=p-1$	$-(y+1)$	0	0	$-(y+1)$

Table 2: Table of states and transitions.

The calculation of the states will rely on the data contained in table 1. As mentioned earlier, the initial state s_1 for the automata is the polynomial defined in equation 2. The second state s_2 is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &= \Lambda_{0,0}(\,s_1 * Q(\,x,y\,)^{p-1}\,) \\ &= \Lambda_{0,0}(\,y(\,1-2xy-2xy^2\,) * Q(\,x,y\,)^{p-1}\,) \\ &= 0-2(\,xy(\,y+1\,))-2(\,-2xy(\,y+1\,)\,) \\ &= 2xy(\,y+1\,) \end{aligned}$$

For $1\leq d\leq p-2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_{d,d}(\,s_1 * Q(\,x,y\,)^{p-1}\,) \\ &= \Lambda_{d,d}(\,y(\,1-2xy-2xy^2\,) * Q(\,x,y\,)^{p-1}\,) \\ &= \binom{2d}{d-1}-2\binom{2d-2}{d-2}-2\binom{2d-2}{d-3} \\ &= \binom{2d}{d-1}-2\binom{2d-1}{d-2} \\ &= \frac{(2d-1)!}{(d-1)!(d+1)!}(\,2d-2(\,d-2\,)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d}\binom{2d}{d-1}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\binom{n}{m} + \binom{n}{m-1} = \binom{n+1}{m}. \tag{12}$$

So these states are constant polynomials. Note that $\binom{2d}{d-1} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $d \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$. For $d = p-1$ we have

$$= \Lambda_{p-1,p-1}(\, y(\, 1 - 2xy - 2xy^2\,) * Q(\, x, y\,)^{p-1}\,)$$

$$= y + 1 - 2\binom{2p-4}{p-3} - 2(\, y + 1\,)$$

$$= -(y+1)$$

since $\binom{2p-4}{p-3} \equiv 0 \mod p$.

The transitions for the state $s_2 = 2xy(y+1)$ can be worked out similarly. Using table 1, we have for $d = 0$,

$$\Lambda_{0,0}(2xy(y+1) * Q^{p-1}) = 2xy(y+1) + 2\binom{-2}{-1} = s_2.$$

For $1 \leq d \leq p-1$,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(2xy(y+1) * Q^{p-1}) = 2\binom{2d-2}{d-2} + 2\binom{2d-2}{d-1}$$

$$= 2\binom{2d-1}{d-1} = 2\binom{2d-1}{d}$$

where we have again used (12).

The transitions for the constant state with value 1 can be taken straight from table 1, noting that $\binom{2d}{d} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $d \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$.

We finally consider the transitions for the state represented by the polynomial $-(\, y+1\,)$. For $0 \leq d \leq p-2$,

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(-(\, y+1\,) = -\binom{2d}{d-1} - \binom{2d}{d} = -\binom{2d+1}{d}.$$

For $d = p-1$,

$$\Lambda_{p-1,p-1}(-(\,y+1\,) = -(y+1) - \binom{2p-2}{p-1} = -(y+1)$$

since $\binom{2p-2}{p-1} \equiv 0 \mod p$.

Transitions for the constant states which have value other than 1 can be obtained from the transitions for the state 1 using the linearity of the Cartier operator.

5 Conclusions

Table 2 shows that, under the algorithm we have used, the automata for $C_n \mod p$ contains at most $p+3$ states. The 3 polynomials $y(\,1-2xy-2xy^2\,)$, $2xy(\,y+1\,)$ and $-(\,y+1\,)$ are always states of the automata. The other states are residues mod p. It is possible that all residues modulo p always appear as states. In order to show that all residues appear it is sufficient to show that the set

$$\{\binom{2d}{d} : 0 \le d \le \frac{p-1}{2}\}$$

generates $(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$. Forbidden residues do exist for $C_n \mod p^k$ for some primes p and $k > 1$ as discussed in [10].

Table 2 and figures 2 and 3 provide a clear view of the the working of $C_n \mod p$. Firstly, it is obvious that if $n = p^k - 1$ and so has a base p representation in the form

$$[\,n\,]_{\,p} = \langle p-1, p-1, ..., p-1, p-1\rangle$$

then $C_n \equiv -1 \mod p$.

Secondly, the zero state is a loop state. Once the state path of a number reaches the zero state it cannot transition to any other state.

Thirdly, if the base p representation of n contains 1 or more digits from the set $\{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\}$ then $C_n \equiv 0 \mod p$ since

$$\Lambda_{d,d}(\,sQ^{p-1}\,) = 0$$

for all states s when $d \in \{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\}$. Since the set of base-$p$ numbers which have no digits from the set $\{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\}$ has asymptotic density 0, it follows that the set $S_p(\,0\,)$ has asymptotic density 1 for all p.

Fourthly, as a consequence of the point above, if $n \equiv \{\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2}, ..., p-2\} \mod p$ then p divides C_n. For $p = 5$ this can be seen from the state diagram in [10].

Finally, none of the constants contained within the group of states C in figures 2 and 3 can be 0. Therefore the criteria that n must satisfy in order that $p \mid C_n$ can be deduced from the figures.

6 Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Eric Rowland for introducing us to automata as a tool for examining Catalan numbers.

References

[1] Rob Burns. Asymptotic density of catalan numbers modulo 3 and powers of 2. *ArXiv*, arXiv:1611.03705:7, 2016. 2

[2] Yong-Gao Chen and Wen Jiang. Catalan numbers modulo a prime power. *Integers*, 13(A36), 2013. 2

[3] E. Deutsch and B.E. Sagan. Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences. *Journal of Number Theory*, 117(1):191–215, 2006. 1

[4] Sen-Peng Eu, Shu-Chung Liu, and Yeong-Nan Yeh. Catalan and Motzkin numbers modulo 4 and 8. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 29:1449–1466, 2008. 1

[5] Manuel Kauers, Christian Krattenthaler, and Thomas W. Müller. A method for determining the mod-2^k behaviour of recursive sequences, with applications to subgroup counting. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18(2), 2012. 1

[6] Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas W. Müller. A method for determining the mod-3^k behaviour of recursive sequences. *ArXiv*, arXiv:1308.2856:82, 2013. 1

[7] E. E. Kummer. Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 44:93–146, 1852. 1

[8] Hsueh-Yung Lin. The odd Catalan numbers modulo 2^k. *Integers*, 12(2):161–165, 2012. 2

[9] S.-C. Liu and J. Yeh. Catalan numbers modulo 2^k. *J Integer Sequences*, 13, 2010. 1

[10] Eric Rowland and Reem Yassawi. Automatic congruences for diagonals of rational functions. *ArXiv*, arXiv:1310.8635:42, 2013. 2, 3, 14, 15